Qn. No.	Response (original)
Q8.7	The three tiers were implicitly endorsed by the Centres Study, which recommended boundary changes and confirmed the status of Tier One and Tier Two centres.
	No examination of the <i>number</i> of tiers took place; the centres study did look at the components of the first and second tiers of Sandwell and recommended alterations or confirmed boundaries and places in the hierarchy of centres.
	Additional centres were proposed i.e. at Quinton (Holly Bush) and Bearwood (Abbey Road). Boundary amendments were identified to others, including Cradley Heath and Bearwood, and a local centre was deleted (at the junction of the A4123 and Hagley Road).
	This shows the hierarchy is fluid and capable of change as set out in paragraph 90 of the NPPF (December 2023).

Qn. No.	Response (amended)
Q8.7	The three tiers were explicitly endorsed by the Centres Study 2020 (p204), (p181, para 12.5)(13.21, p 191) which confirmed the status of Tier One, Tier Two and Tier Three centres
	It noted:
	the predominance of Strategic Centres for comparison goods (table 4.5 p 67),
	the different role of Town Centres for convenience goods, with each centre 'anchored' by a major food store (Section 7.136, p 103, bullet point two),
	the predominantly service and convenience function of District and Local Centres (8.11, p 106).
	The centres study also looked at the components of the first and second tiers of Sandwell and recommended alterations or confirmed boundaries and positions in the hierarchy of centres.
	In line with the Study (para 12.5, p 181) additional centres were proposed i.e. at Quinton (Holly Bush) and Bearwood (Abbey Road). Boundary amendments were identified to others, including Cradley Heath and Bearwood, and a local centre was deleted (at the junction of the A4123 and Hagley Road).
	This shows the hierarchy is fluid and capable of change as set out in paragraph 90 of the NPPF (December 2023).